

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/628,634	07/28/2003	Jean-Christophe Jacques Kling	02-015	4895
7590 10/26/2006			EXAMINER	
PENTAGRAM LLC			LAUX, JESSICA L	
3008 REDSTONE LANE BOULDER, CO 80305			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
· :			3635	
			DATE MAILED: 10/26/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	10/628,634	KLING, JEAN-CHRISTOPHE JACQUES	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jessica Laux	3635	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet w	rith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office tater than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. IBANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 Ju	ıly 2006.		
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.	-	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal ma	tters, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims	•		
4) Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.	•	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) 1-25 are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		,
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawin	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			•
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		Application No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been	received in this National Stage	
application from the International Bureau	ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies no	t received.	
r			
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	6) Other	mainer , circus delinories	

Application/Control Number: 10/628,634

Art Unit: 3635

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

Figure 12 discloses 11 distinct species, 1205-1275, applicant is required to elect 1 species for examination.

The species are independent or distinct because they each require a different node and strut structure resulting in a distinct apparatus each of which requires separate status in the art.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after

Application/Control Number: 10/628,634

Art Unit: 3635

the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

A telephone call was made to Mr. Olson on September 18, 2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jessica Laux whose telephone number is 571-272-

Application/Control Number: 10/628,634

Art Unit: 3635

8228. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday, 8:30am to 4:00pm (est).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Naoko Slack can be reached on 571-272-6848. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

D

JL 09/18/2006 NAOKO SLACK SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE: TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600